

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MIDLAND/ODESSA DIVISION**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
EX REL. VERITY  
INVESTIGATIONS, LLC, and  
VERITY INVESTIGATIONS, LLC,  
*Plaintiffs,***

**MO:24-CV-00160-DC**

**v.**

**IMPERATIVE CHEMICAL  
PARTNERS, INC.,  
*Defendant.***



**ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

BEFORE THE COURT is the report and recommendation from United States Magistrate Judge Ronald C. Griffin (Doc. 28) concerning Defendant Imperative Chemical Partners, Inc.’s (“Defendant”) Unopposed Partial Motion to Dismiss. (Doc. 26). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Rule 1(d) of Appendix C of the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, Magistrate Judge Griffin issued his report and recommendation on July 22, 2025 (Doc. 28). As of the date of this Order, neither party has filed objections.


Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), a party may serve and file specific, written objections to a magistrate judge’s proposed findings and recommendations within fourteen days after being served with a copy and, in doing so, secure *de novo* review by the district court. When no objections are timely filed, a district court reviews the magistrate’s report and recommendation for clear error. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee’s note (“When no timely objection is filed, the [district] court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.”). Because no party has

filed timely objections, the Court reviews the Report and Recommendation for clear error, finding none.

The Report and Recommendation is thereby **ADOPTED**; the Partial Motion to Dismiss is **GRANTED**. The Court makes no finding as to Plaintiff's remaining claims.

It is so **ORDERED**.

SIGNED this 7th day of August, 2025.



DAVID COUNTS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE